

A. General Compensation Questions

What do we know about the relationship between student achievement and teachers' educational attainment and experience, which is the traditional way that teacher salaries are determined?

A large number of studies have examined the effects of teacher characteristics on student achievement, such as teacher experience, preparation, degrees earned, selectivity of college attended, certification, and test scores. Two of these characteristics are of particular interest to policymakers because traditional teacher compensation systems pay teachers according to years of teaching experience and highest degree earned. Goldhaber and Brewer (1998) note that rewards for additional experience and degrees can be substantial. For example, public school teachers with master's degrees earn an average of 11 percent more than they would earn if they held a bachelor's degree only. They earn 14 percent more for an education specialist's degree and 17 percent more for a doctorate. But does evidence suggest that teacher effectiveness consistently improves with each additional year of experience and each advanced degree?

The majority of studies conclude that teacher education and experience are not strong predictors of teacher effectiveness, as measured by student achievement gains. In one study of Chicago Public School teachers, for example, Aaronson, Barrow, and Sander (2007) found that 90 percent of the variance in teacher effects on student learning was not explained by teacher characteristics such as highest level of education, experience, credentials, and selectivity of the college that the teacher attended.

The preponderance of evidence suggests that teachers who have completed graduate degrees are not significantly more effective at increasing student learning than those with no more than a bachelor's degree. Five studies reviewed by Rice (2003), which examined student achievement in a wide variety of grades and subject areas, found that teachers having completed an advanced degree had no significant effect on student performance (Summers & Wolfe, 1977; Link & Ratledge, 1979; Murnane & Phillips, 1981; Harnisch, 1987; Monk, 1994). Clotfelter, Ladd, and Vigdor (2007a) also found that on average, elementary teachers who had completed master's degrees were no more or no less effective than others at raising student achievement, with one exception. Elementary teachers with master's degrees appeared to be less effective, on average, than those without advanced degrees if they earned the degrees more than five years after they started teaching.

Only one study Rice examined found a significant relationship between teacher completion of a master's degree and student achievement, and the relationship was significant for black students only (Ehrenberg & Brewer, 1994). Moreover, four more studies that she reviewed found a negative relationship between teacher completion of advanced degrees and student achievement. Murnane (1975), for example, found that having a master's degree had no significant effect on student reading scores in Grades 2 and 3 and actually had a negative effect on mathematics

scores. Eberts and Stone (1984), too, found that Grade 4 mathematics achievement was lower in the classrooms of teachers with higher degrees in mathematics. Keisling (1984) found a negative relationship between teacher degree status and student reading performance in New York City. And Rowan, Correnti, and Miller (2002) found that students assigned to a teacher with a degree in mathematics actually performed worse than students whose teacher had no mathematics degree. A more recent meta-analysis of a larger number of studies also found no consistent relationship between holding a graduate degree and a teacher's ability to increase student learning gains (Aos, Miller, & Pennucci, 2007).

Holding some types of advanced degrees may have a positive effect on student achievement at the secondary level, however. Clotfelter, Ladd, and Vigdor (2007b) found that in contrast to findings that emerged from their earlier research on elementary school teachers, high school teachers who completed a master's degree were more effective at increasing student achievement than those without advanced degrees. Goldhaber and Brewer's (1997, 1998) analyses of the 1988 National Educational Longitudinal Study also revealed that high school students assigned to teachers who held master's degrees in mathematics made greater gains in mathematics achievement than students whose teachers did not have advanced degrees or who held advanced degrees in other subjects. Similarly, high school teachers with bachelor's degrees in science were also more effective at increasing student achievement in science than teachers who taught science but either had no degree or a bachelor's degree in a nonscience subject. Subject-specific degrees had no effect on student achievement in English or history, however.

Research does show that teachers become more skilled with experience (see Rice, 2003; Murnane, 1975; Murnane & Phillips, 1981; Ferguson, 1991; Ferguson & Ladd, 1996; Greenwald, Hedges, & Laine, 1996; Hanushek, Kain, & Rivkin, 1998; Grissmer, Flanagan, Kawata, & Williamson, 2000; Rivers & Sanders, 2002; Rowan et al., 2002; Wayne & Youngs, 2003; Nye, Konstantopoulos, & Hedges, 2004; Hanushek & Rivkin, 2004; Hanushek, Kain, O'Brien, & Rivkin, 2005; Kane, Rockoff, & Staiger, 2006; Gordon, Kane, & Staiger, 2006; Harris & Sass, 2007; Aos, Miller, & Pennucci, 2007; Clotfelter, Ladd, & Vigdor, 2006, 2007a). The preponderance of evidence suggests, however, that teacher experience matters most during the first several years of a teacher's career.

How long teacher performance continues to improve is a point of contention among researchers. Hanushek et al. (2005), for example, contend that experience matters only in the first year of teaching. By their estimates, "having a first year teacher on average is roughly equivalent to having a teacher a half standard deviation down in the quality distribution" (p. 18). Grissmer et al.'s (2000) analysis of state data on the National Assessment of Educational Progress revealed positive effects on student achievement in states with large proportions of teachers who had at least two years of experience, but no evidence that additional years of experience were associated with higher achievement. Gordon, Kane, and Staiger (2006) found large gains in teacher effectiveness between the first and second year of teaching, much smaller gains between the second and third year, and no substantial improvement after the third year in the classroom. Murnane (1975) found that teacher effectiveness improves rapidly over the first three years of teaching and reaches its highest point between the third and fifth year but found no substantial improvement after year five. Ferguson (1991) and Ferguson and Ladd (1996) also found no experience effects for elementary teachers beyond the first five years in the classroom. A number

of other studies also conclude that teacher experience effects are largely concentrated in the early years (see additional references in Hanushek & Rivkin, 2007, including Rockoff, 2004; Rivkin, Hanushek, & Kain, 2005; Boyd et al., 2005).

In contrast, a small number of studies suggest that teacher experience effects may be evident for a longer period of time. Murnane and Phillips (1981) found that experience had a significant positive effect on elementary student achievement among teachers during their first seven years of teaching. Ferguson (1991) found that at the high school level, Texas students taught by teachers with more than nine years of experience had significantly higher test scores than students whose teachers had five to nine years of experience. Rivers and Sanders (2002) report that “current TVAAS research suggests that teachers’ effectiveness increases dramatically each year during the first ten years of teaching” (p. 22). In the most extreme case, Clotfelter, Ladd, and Vigdor (2006, 2007a) found evidence of growing teacher effectiveness out to 20 or more years in their analyses of North Carolina teacher data, although more than half of the gains in teacher effectiveness occurred during the first few years of teaching.

In sum, the current method by which most teachers are paid assumes that teachers who have graduate degrees and more teaching experience are more effective—and therefore should automatically be paid more—than teachers with no advanced degrees and less teaching experience. Evidence suggests, however, that these factors are not consistently related with student performance, with the exception of initial levels of experience and the possible exception of some advanced degrees at the secondary level, particularly subject-specific degrees in mathematics and science. A small number of studies indicate that holding a subject-specific degree does predict student achievement in high school mathematics and science but not in other subjects.

The research is quite clear that there is a relationship between teacher experience and student achievement, but the preponderance of evidence suggests that the biggest improvements in teacher effectiveness occur during the first few years in the classroom. Moreover, only a portion of the large gains in average teacher effectiveness after Year 1 may be due to on-the-job learning (Hanushek, Kain, O’Brien, & Rivkin, 2005). Average teacher effectiveness also increases, in part, because beginning teachers who are not successful often leave the profession after their first year. Some evidence suggests that at the high school level there may be sustained experience effects that last longer.

These findings suggest that there is little empirical support for compensation policies that automatically reward teachers for additional degrees and experience. Compensation systems that include measures of teachers’ ability to increase student learning gains would likely be a more effective way to identify and reward top performers and ultimately improve teacher quality.

References

Aaronson, D., Barrow, L., & Sander, W. (2007). Teachers and student achievement in the Chicago public high schools. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 25(1), 95–136.

- Aos, S., Miller, M., & Pennucci, A. (2007, December). *Report to the Joint Task Force on Basic Education Finance: School employee compensation and student outcomes*. Document No. 07-12-2201. Olympia, WA: Washington State Institute for Public Policy. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/rptfiles/07-12-2201.pdf>
- Boyd, D., Grossman, P., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2005, December). *How changes in entry requirements alter the teacher workforce and affect student achievement*. NBER Working Paper 11844. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Clotfelter, C. T., Ladd, H. F., & Vigdor, J. L. (2006, Fall). Teacher-student matching and the assessment of teacher effectiveness. *Journal of Human Resources*, 41(4), 778–820.
- Clotfelter, C. T., Ladd, H. F., & Vigdor, J. L. (2007a, March). *How and why do teacher credentials matter for student achievement?* Working Paper 2. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, National Center for Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Education Research. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://www.caldercenter.org/PDF/1001058_Teacher_Credentials.pdf
- Clotfelter, C. T., Ladd, H. F., & Vigdor, J. L. (2007b, October). *Teacher credentials and student achievement in high school: A cross-subject analysis with student fixed effects*. Working Paper 11. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, National Center for Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Education Research. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://www.caldercenter.org/PDF/1001104_Teacher_Credentials_HighSchool.pdf
- Eberts, R. W., & Stone, J. A. (1984). *Unions and public schools*. Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath and Company.
- Ehrenberg, R. G., & Brewer, D. J. (1994, March). Do school and teacher characteristics matter? Evidence from High School and Beyond. *Economics of Education Review*, 13(1), 1–17.
- Ferguson, R. F. (1991). Paying for public education: New evidence on how and why money matters. *Harvard Journal of Legislation*, 28(2), 465–498.
- Ferguson, R. F., & Ladd, H. F. (1996). How and why money matters: An analysis of Alabama schools. In H. F. Ladd (Ed.), *Holding schools accountable: Performance-based reform in education*, pp. 265–298. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.
- Goldhaber, D., & Brewer, D. (1997, July). Evaluating the effect of teacher degree level on educational performance. In W. Fowler (Ed.), *Developments in school finance, 1996*, pp. 199–210. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs97/975351.pdf>
- Goldhaber, D. D., & Brewer, D. J. (1998, October). When should we reward degrees for teachers? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80(2), 134–138.

- Gordon, R., Kane, T. J., & Staiger, D. O. (2006, April). *Identifying effective teachers using performance on the job*. (The Hamilton Project, Discussion Paper 2006-01). Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://www3.brookings.edu/views/papers/200604hamilton_1.pdf
- Greenwald, R., Hedges, L. V., & Laine, R. D. (1996). The effect of school resources on student achievement. *Review of Educational Research*, 66(3), 361–396.
- Grissmer, D., Flanagan, A., Kawata, J., & Williamson, S. (2000). *Improving student achievement: What state NAEP scores tell us*. Arlington, VA: RAND.
- Hanushek, E., Kain, J., O'Brien, D., & Rivkin, S. (2005, February). *The market for teacher quality*. NBER Working Paper 11154. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://edpro.stanford.edu/hanushek/admin/pages/files/uploads/w11154.pdf>
- Hanushek, E. A., Kain, J. F., & Rivkin, S. G. (1998, August). *Teachers, schools, and academic achievement*. NBER Working Paper No. 6691. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://www.nber.org/papers/w6691>
- Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2007, Spring). Pay, working conditions, and teacher quality. *Excellence in the Classroom*, 17(1), 69–86. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://www.futureofchildren.org/usr_doc/Classroom_07_01.pdf
- Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2004). How to improve the supply of high quality teachers. In D. Ravitch (Ed.), *Brookings Papers on Education Policy: 2004*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://edpro.stanford.edu/Hanushek/admin/pages/files/uploads/Teacher%20quality.Brookings.pdf>
- Harnisch, D. L. (1987, March–April). Characteristics associated with effective public high schools. *Journal of Educational Research*, 80(4), 233–241.
- Harris, D. N., & Sass, T. R. (2007, March). *Teacher training, teacher quality, and student achievement*. (Working Paper 3). Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, National Center for Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Education Research (CALDER). Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://www.caldercenter.org/PDF/1001059_Teacher_Training.pdf
- Kane, T., Rockoff, J., & Staiger, D. (2006, April). *What does certification tell us about teacher effectiveness? Evidence from New York City*. NBER Working Paper 12155. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://rssh.anu.edu.au/themes/TQConf_Rockoff.pdf
- Kiesling, H. J. (1984). Assignment practices and the relationship of instructional time to the reading performance of elementary school children. *Economics of Education Review*, 3(4), 341–350.

- Link, C. R., & Ratledge, E. C. (1979, Winter). Student perceptions, I.Q., and achievement. *Journal of Human Resources*, 14(1), 98–111.
- Monk, D. H. (1994). Subject area preparation of secondary mathematics and science teachers and student achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 13(2), 125–145.
- Murnane, R. J. (1975). *The impact of school resources on the learning of inner-city children*. Cambridge, MA: Ballinger.
- Murnane, R. J., & Phillips, B. R. (1981, March). What do effective teachers of inner-city children have in common? *Social Science Research*, 10, 83–100.
- Nye, B., Konstantopoulos, S., & Hedges, L. (2004, Fall). How large are teacher effects? *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 26(3), 237–257. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://www.sesp.northwestern.edu/docs/publications/169468047044fcbd1360b55.pdf>
- Rice, J. K. (2003, August). *Teacher quality: Understanding the effectiveness of teacher attributes*. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute.
- Rivers, J., & Sanders, W. (2002). Teacher quality and equity in educational opportunity: Findings and policy implications. In L. Izumi and W. Evers (Eds.), *Teacher quality*, pp. 13–23. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Hoover Institution Press. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://media.hoover.org/documents/0817929320_13.pdf
- Rivkin, S., Hanushek, E., & Kain, J. (2005). Teachers, schools, and academic achievement. *Econometrica*, 73(2), 417–458. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://edpro.stanford.edu/Hanushek/admin/pages/files/uploads/teachers.econometrica.pdf>
- Rockoff, J. (2004). The impact of individual teachers on student achievement: Evidence from panel data. *American Economic Review*, 94(2), 247–52. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from <http://129.3.20.41/eps/pe/papers/0304/0304002.pdf>
- Rowan, B., Correnti, R., & Miller, R. J. (2002). What large-scale, survey research tells us about teacher effects on student achievement: Insights from the Prospects study of elementary schools. *Teachers College Record*, 104(8), 1525–1567. Retrieved January 25, 2008, from http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2sql/content_storage_01/0000019b/80/1b/22/3f.pdf
- Summers, A. A., & Wolfe, B. L. (1977, September). Do schools make a difference? *American Economic Review*, 67(4), 639–652.
- Wayne, A. J., & Youngs, P. (2003). Teacher characteristics and student achievement gains: A review. *Review of Educational Research*, 73(1), 89–122.

This synthesis of key research studies was written by:

Cynthia D. Prince, Vanderbilt University; Julia Koppich, Ph.D., J. Koppich and Associates; Tamara Morse Azar, Westat; Monica Bhatt, Learning Point Associates; and Peter J. Witham, Vanderbilt University.

We are grateful to Michael Podgursky, University of Missouri, and Anthony Milanowski, University of Wisconsin-Madison, for their helpful comments and suggestions.